

Interview Summary

Application No. 09/345,725 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit Jill L. Heitbrink

1732

Zerafati-Jahromi et al.

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, P	TO personnel):
(1) Jill L. Heitbrink	(3)
(2) Richard Tushin	
Date of Interview Mar 24, 2000	
Type: 🛛 Telephonic 🗌 Personal (copy is given to	\square applicant \square applicant's representative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:	☒ No. If yes, brief description:
Agreement 🛛 was reached. 🗌 was not reached. Claim(s) discussed: all	
Identification of prior art discussed:	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the am the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	nendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render o copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable
1. \boxtimes It is not necessary for applicant to provide a se	parate record of the substance of the interview.
LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST IN	ate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE CLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.
each of the objections, rejections and requiremental claims are now allowable, this completed form	e (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to ents that may be present in the last Office action, and since the is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last roviding a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above
Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is a	an attachment to a signed Office action.